

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/662,670	09/15/2003	Robert Nasimov	NASR64A 1860		
75	7590 09/29/2004		EXAMINER		
RICHARD L. MILLER			SAFAVI, MICHAEL		
12 Parkside Drive Dix Hills, NY 11746-4879			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3673	-	
			DATE MAILED: 09/29/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

r,		Application No.	Applicant(s)	11/			
		10/662,670	NASIMOV, ROBERT				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		M. Safavi	3673				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addres	is			
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failu	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re o period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ire to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili- ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commu ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	inication.			
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15.	September 2003.					
2a)□		is action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraware Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-14,17 and 19-21 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 15,16 and 18 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.					
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		=				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer  2. Certified copies of the priority documer  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document  application from the International Burea  See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	nts have been received.  Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in the contract of the contract	ion No ed in this National Stag	ge '			
Attachment	t(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
3) 因 Infom	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date 9/15/03.	Paper No(s)/Mail Date of Informal P	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)	)			

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 5-13 and 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 5 presents a "track wall" and proceeds to recite that the "track wall" functions as a sole plate and that the "track wall" functions as a top plate, (see lines1-3 of claim 5). It is not clear as to what position the recited track wall is in relation to the shear wall. Is the track wall a "top plate" or a "sole plate"? Or, should claim 5 introduce another track wall with one of the track walls positioned as a sole plate and another of the track walls positioned as a top plate?

Claim 19, lines, 7-8, "said pair of through bores in said base plate" lacks antecedent basis within the claim as does "said base plate". Lines 9-10, it is not clear as to what is being defined by "...that ultimately receive a pair of nuts". Do the anchor bolts have nuts positioned on them or not?

Claim 19, lines, 8-9, "said pair of through bores in said base plate" lacks antecedent basis within the claim as does "said base plate". Line 10, it is not clear as to what is being defined by "...that ultimately receive a pair of nuts". Do the anchor bolts have nuts positioned on them or not? Line 11, "said studs" lacks antecedent basis within the claim.

Art Unit: 3673

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States:
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Herren. Herren discloses, Figs. 1-6, a shear wall joint or joints having a bracket 32 integrally formed with the shear wall with the bracket attaching the shear wall to a substrate 16. The bracket 32 consists of a base 36 and a pair of sidewalls 38 with the base of the bracket abutting against the substrate 16. The base of the bracket has a pair of through bores 37 for affixing to the substrate 16. Each sidewall of the bracket has a plurality of through bores 42.

Claims 1, 2, 4, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Strange. Strange discloses, Figs. 1, 4, and 5, a shear wall joint or joints having a bracket 60, 74 integrally formed with the shear wall with the bracket attaching the shear wall to a substrate 132, 136. The bracket 60, 74 consists of a base and a pair of side walls with the base of the bracket abutting against the substrate. Each sidewall of the

Art Unit: 3673

bracket has a plurality of through bores 77. At least two diagonal braces 66, 68 extend from the bracket.

Page 4

Claims 1-3, 5, and 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Granieri. Granieri discloses, Fig. 1, a shear wall joint or joints having a bracket 14' integrally formed with the shear wall with the bracket attaching the shear wall to a substrate, (shown partially by 54). The bracket 14' consists of a base and a pair of sidewalls. The base of the bracket has a pair of through bores 20'. A track wall 14, functioning as a top plate, has a base and side walls and has through bores 20 aligned with the through bores 20' of the bracket. A base plate 32 sits within the bracket 14'.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Strange. Strange does not specifically disclose how the diagonal bracing members are attached to plates 55, 56, 65. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to attach the diagonal bracing members to plates 55, 56, 65 as by screws or bolts thus providing a plurality of through bores at ends of each bracing member.

Claims 15, 16, and 18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 6, 10-13, and 19-21 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M. Safavi whose telephone number is (703) 308-2481. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thur., 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Shackelford can be reached on (703) 308-2978. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

MICHAEL SAFAVI PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 354